On April 17, 2008, the parties in this case appeared before the Court for the defendant's initial appearance. At that time, the parties requested, and the Court agreed, to set the date for the defendant's preliminary hearing and arraignment on May 5, 2008. The parties further stipulated that pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure ("FRCP") 5.1(d), the time limits set forth in FRCP 5.1(c) be excluded from April 17, 2008, through May 5, 2008. The parties agree that, taking into account the public interest in prompt disposition of criminal cases, good cause exists for this extension.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 The defendant also agrees to exclude for this period of time any time limits applicable under 2 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The parties represented that granting the continuance was the reasonable time necessary for continuity of defense counsel. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties also 3 4 agreed that the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweighed the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). 5 6 SO STIPULATED: 7 8 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO 9 United States Attorney 10 11 **DATED:** April 28, 2008 12 Assistant United States Attorney 13 **DATED:** April 28, 2008 14 15 Attorney for Defendant GILBERTO NARANJO AVILA 16 For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that exclusion of time from April 17, 2008 17 through May 5, 2008 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance 18 outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §3161 19 (h)(8)(A); FRCP 5.1(d). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the 20 21 defendant continuity of counsel, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). 22 23 SO ORDERED. 24 25 DATED April 28, 2008 26 27 United States District Judge 28